For Trafikdage 2024, I am presenting the results from a participatory design project, part of my Industrial PhD **"Sustainable Mobilities in Smaller Cities";** a collaboration between the Department of Design, Media and Educational Science, University of Southern Denmark and Kolding Kommune, Trafik, Vej & Park. My Industrial PhD is funded by **Innovationfund Denmark and Kolding Kommune.**

Boosting bike-commuting through active co-design

MOTIVATION

In Kolding, the Mobility Plan 2023-2025 aims to lower the use of cars within a 5 km city centre range from 52% to 34% and similarly for the 10 km range. As more bicycle paths and recreational pedestrian corridors are not enough to ensure a significant reduction of shorter car trips, much of the reduction needs to come from citizen's behaviour change.

MOBILITY & BEHAVIOUR CHANGE

Until now, urban mobility policies and behaviour change initiatives have failed in creating the required change for achieving the set carbon reduction targets (Axsen, 2020; Welch, 2016). In traffic research there is an increasing awareness that more bicycle paths and restrictive traffic infrastructure doesn't do it alone.

Mobility is more than movement of bodies (Jensen, 2022). Unlike policy and legislation, mobility does not adhere to municipal and regional borders (Martin & Christensen, 2021). In transport and planning, the movement of physical matter is the focus: Lowering cost, energy, and resources.

Sustainability transitions require the capacity and capability to act as individuals and collectively to bring about the needed changes (Huttunen, 2021). Inadequate attention to human behaviour and agency is a constant critique of the socio-technical system transition thinking (Pesch, 2015, Fischer & Newig, 2016; de Haan & Rotmans, 2018; Bögel & Upham, 2018; Upham, Bögel & Dütschke, 2020).

There is therefore a need for a holistic understanding of mobility practices. **"The new mobilities turn"** asks how mobilities change the way we see ourselves, our social others, and the physical world (Jensen, 2022, 2023). While the design field has embraced the practice of designing interventions for affecting behaviour (Cash et al., 2020; Khadilkar & Cash, 2020) most of existing approaches rely on influencing behaviours on an individual level (Niedderer et al., 2016) by "nudging" (Hargreaves, 2011; Hoolohan & Browne, 2020; Niedderer et al., 2014) rather than empowering communities of citizens in transitioning towards more sustainable practices.

Subsequently, when designing interventions (Gabrielli et al., 2014; Kuijer and Bakker, 2015; Nielsen et al., 2021) there is a lack in addressing the social aspect of people's behaviours.

CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

Most existing approaches to urban mobility policies and behaviour change ignore the role of civic engagement and participation in designing relevant behavioural interventions. In the sustainability transitions context, local knowledge often relates to knowledge about sustainable lifestyles as citizens are the experts on their everyday lives and daily practices (Becker & Rudolf, 2018, Kaljonen et al, 2019). Consecutive practices that are part of a daily sequential personal routine are highly interlocked (Breadzel,Eon & Morrison, 2019:6). There is a need to understand how both the **current and desired** practices are constituted in people's lives. Citizen participation is required in generating that understanding.

Kythreotis et al (2019) find evidence of governments and municipalities working better to include local knowledge, but that more work is needed to further integrate citizen action and climate policymaking.

They propose Citizen Social Science as a way of increasing civic engagement at different scales of governance that "move beyond tokenistic forms of citizen participation". Hutunen et al (2022) identify four key forms of citizen engagement in transitions research: (1) envisioning sustainable futures; (2) local transition implementation; (3) revealing public perceptions; and (4) developing participatory methods to facilitate transitions. There is an opportunity for genuine citizen participation to support behaviour change.

Participatory design (Simonsen & Robertson 2013) plays a particular role here, as a method that can disentangle uncertain, 'wicked problems' through design interventions and help co-create potential solutions with citizens.

DATA PHYSICALIZATION

Khot et al. (2014) find that by viewing and handling 3D-printed objects of their own physical activity data, people gain a better sense of this data and a stronger emotional connection than when being provided with visualizations. Datacrafting (Vladis, Hopkins & Satyanarayan, 2020), Datagifts (Karyda et al. 2020) and lo-fi data physicalization tools have been proposed as methods for both individual and collaborative (data)sensemaking, as well as improving data literacy, sense of agency and sense of empowerment among participants through "playful engagement" and finding "meaning through delight" (Daneshzand et al., 2022).

METHODS & DATA

Engagement with real-time GPS data presents an obvious avenue towards behaviour change for sustainable mobility choices, as tracking human activity patterns becomes more ubiquitous. However, tracking data in visual form does not invite the quality of engagement that data physicalizations afford.

Ethnographic data provides a level of nuance that only numbers and coordinates cannot convey.

In this blended method experiment, we have studied bike-commuter habits by combining **data tracking**, **ethnographic studies** and **data physicalization**.

We invited 12 everyday bicycle commuters to track their mobility patterns through an app, to be video shadowed on their daily commute, and finally to engage in workshops with different data physicalizations based on the personal mobility data collected.

RESULTS

HOW MAY COMMUTER-HABITS SUPPORT NON-BICYCLISTS IN MODALITY-SHIFTING?

The results of the study clearly demonstrate potential for engaging local communities in reflecting on their day to day mobility choices through active engagement with data physicalizations. The study also demonstrates active co-design as a method for designing site-specific and low-cost interventions.

Actionable insights - > Co-designed interventions:

- Mastering -> Landing facilities
- Gearing up -> bike-commuter starter package
- Scenic routes and shortcuts -> Co-design mapping
- Bikepride & car-shaming -> CO2 measuring

I will discuss the most important and actionable insights we gained on bike-commuting behaviour as well as the co-designed interventions.

Acknowledgements

The local bike-commuters eagerly participated in the study, the traffic planners of Kolding Kommune for supporting the study, and the graduate design students of the IT Product Design programme, University of Southern Denmark, for putting an effort into designing the data physicalizations used in the study.

REFERENCES

Anderson, K; Nafus, D; Rattenbury, T, and Aipperspach, R. (2009) *Numbers Have Qualities Too: Experiences with Ethno-Mining.* In EPIC 2009 Proceedings 123-140.

Ağça, A. Ö., & Buur, J. (2023). *Data Drawing and Data Tinkering*. In S. Ferraris, V. Rognoli, & N. Nimkulrat (Eds.), EKSIG 2023 Proceedings From Abstractness to Concreteness – experiential knowledge and the role of prototypes in design research: International Conference 2023 of the Design Research Society Special Interest Group on Experiential Knowledge (EKSIG) (pp. 15-29)

Axsen, J., Plötz, P. & Wolinetz, M. (2020) *Crafting strong, integrated policy mixes for deep CO2 mitigation in road transport.* Nat. Clim. Chang. 10, 809–818

Bae, S. & Zheng, Clement & West, Mary & Do, Ellen & Huron, Samuel & Albers Szafir, Danielle. (2022). *Making Data Tangible: A Cross-disciplinary Design Space for Data Physicalization*. In Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '22). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 81, 1–18.

Bandura, A. (2008). *An agentic perspective on positive psychology*. In S. J. Lopez (Ed.), Positive psychology: Exploring the best in people, Vol. 1. Discovering human strengths (pp. 167–196). Praeger Publishers/Greenwood Publishing Group.

Baxter, R., & Lawton, R. (2022). *The Positive Deviance Approach (Elements of Improving Quality and Safety in Healthcare)*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Brandt, E. (2007). *How tangible mock-ups support design collaboration*. Journal of Knowledge, Technology & Policy 20: 179–192.

Breadsell, J. & Eon, C. & Morrison, G. (2019) Understanding Resource Consumption in the Home, Community and Society through Behaviour and Social Practice Theories. Sustainability. 11.

Becker, S & C. Rudolf, C. (2018) *Exploring the potential of free cargo-bike sharing for sustainable mobility.* Gaia, 27, pp. 156-164

Boeing, G., Higgs, C., Liu, S., Giles-Corti, B., Sallis, J. F., Cerin, E., Lowe, M., Adlakha, D., Hinckson, E., Moudon, A. V., Salvo, D., Adams, M. A., Barrozo, L. V., Bozovic, T., Delclòs-Alió, X., Dygrýn, J., Ferguson, S., Gebel, K., Ho, T. P., ... Arundel, J. (2022). Using open data and open-source software to develop spatial indicators of urban design and transport features for achieving healthy and sustainable cities. The Lancet Global Health, 10(6), e907-e918.

Bornakke, T., & Due, B. L.. (2018). *Big–Thick Blending: A method for mixing analytical insights from big and thick data sources.* Big Data & Society. 5.

Bögel, P.M., Upham, P. (2018) *Role of psychology in socio technical transitions studies: Review in relation to consumption and technology acceptance.* Environ. Innov. Soc. Trans., 28 (2018), pp. 122-136

Buur, J., Sorenson, J.,and Cooper, C.M.(2021) Big data and small beginnings – how people engage with data physicalizations, in Brandt, E., Markussen, T., Berglund, E., Julier, G., Linde, P. (eds.), Nordes 2021: Matters of Scale, 15-18 August, Kolding, Denmark. 249-258.

Cash, P., Khadilkar, P., Jensen, J., Dusterdich, C., & Mugge, R. (2020) *Designing behavior change: A behavioural problem/solution (BPS) matrix.* International Journal of Design, 14(2), 65-83.

Christiansen, H. and Baescu (2022) *TU Årsrapport for Danmark 2022*, Center for Transport Analytics, DTU Management, Department of Technology, Management and Economics

Claes, S. Slegers, K. and Vande Moere, A. (2016). The Bicycle Barometer: Design and Evaluation of Cyclist-Specific Interaction for a Public Display. In Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '16). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 5824–5835.

Conway, M. & Pleydell-Pearce, C. (2000). The Construction of Autobiographical Memories in the Self-Memory System. Psychological review. 107. 261-88.

Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1975/2000). *Beyond boredom and anxiety: Experiencing flow in work and play* (1st/2nd ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Day, D., & Wagner, J. (2014) *Objects as tools for talk.* In M. Nevile, P. Haddington, T. Heinemann, & M. Rauniomaa (Eds.), Interacting with objects: language, materiality, and social activity (pp. 101-124). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Fischer, L.B., Newig, J. (2016) *Importance of actors and agency in sustainability transitions: A systematic exploration of the literature.* Sustainability, 8 (5) (2016), p. 476

Fritz, R. (1994) "The Path of Least Resistance: Learning to Become the Creative Force in Your Own Life" Butterworth Heinemann, University of Virginia

Fogg, B. (2009) BJ Fogg (2009) *A behavior model for persuasive design*. In Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Persuasive Technology (Persuasive '09). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 40, 1–7.

García, I. and Hornecker, E.. (2021) *Scaling Data Physicalization – How Does Size Influence Experience?* In Proceedings of the Fifteenth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction (TEI '21). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 8, 1–14.

Hargreaves, T. (2011) *Practice-ing behaviour change: Applying social practice theory to pro environmental behaviour change.* Journal of Consumer Culture., 11, 79–99.

Hoolohan, C., & Browne, A. L. (2020) *Design thinking for practice-based intervention: Co-producing the change points toolkit to unlock (un) sustainable practices.* Design Studies, 67, 102-132.

Hornecker, E. and Buur, J. (2006) Getting a Grip on Tangible Interaction: A Framework on Physical Space and Social Interaction. In Proceedings of the 2006 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '06). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 437–446.

Hornecker, E., Hogan, T., Hinrichs, U. and von Koningsbruggen, R. (2023) *A Design Vocabulary for Data Physicalization*. ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interaction.

Huttunen, S. & Kaljonen, M. & Lonkila, A. & Rantala, S. & Rekola, A. & Paloniemi, R. (2021) *Pluralising agency to understand behaviour change in sustainability transitions*. Energy Res. & Social Science.76.

Isaacs, E. (2012). The Value of Rapid Ethnography In:: Jordan, B. Advancing Ethnography in Corporate Environments: Challenges and Emerging Opportunities, Left Coast Press

Jansen, Y and Dragicevic, P, Isenberg, P Alexander, J, Karnik, A Kildal, J Subramanian, S and Hornbæk, K (2015) *Opportunities and Challenges for Data Physicalization*. In: Proceedings CHI. 3227-3236.

Jensen, O.B. (2023) *Mobilities Design: Affordances, Atmospheres, Embodiments.* In K. Vöckler, P. Eckart, M. Knöll, & M. Lanzendorf (Eds.), *Mobility Design: Shaping Future Mobility* (pp. 24-30). Jovis Verlag.

Johnstone, K. 1987. Impro: Improvisation and the theatre. Theatre Arts Book.

Kaljonen, M., T. Peltola, T., Salo, M., Furman, E. (2019) *Attentive, speculative experimental research for sustainability transitions: an exploration in sustainable eating.* Journal of Cleaner Production. 206. Pp.365-373

Karoff, H (2013) Play practices and play moods, International Journal of Play, 2:2, 76-86

Karyda, M., Ryöppy, M., Buur, J. & Lucero, A., (2020) *Imagining Data-Objects for Reflective Self-Tracking*. CHI '20: Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. New York: Association for Computing Machinery, 12 p. 715

Khadilkar, P. R., & Cash, P. (2020) Understanding behavioural design: barriers and enablers. Journal of Engineering Design, 31(10), 508-529

Khot, R., Hjorth, L., and Mueller,F.. (2014) *Understanding physical activity through 3D printed material artefacts*. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '14). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 3835–3844.

Klein Wengel, T.T., Schipperijn, J. & Dinesen, A.(2023) *"Hvor er der potentiale for mere cykling i Danmark?",* Department of Sports and Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark

Martin, R. (2021) *Points of Exchange: Spatial Strategies for the Transition Towards Sustainable Urban Mobilities.* Ph.d.-serien for Det Tekniske Fakultet for IT og Design, Aalborg Universitet

Mortensen, K. & Wagner, J. (2021) *Cykling som kropsbaseret computational praksis.* I N. B. Dohn, R.Mitchell, & R. Chongtay (red.), Computational Thinking: Teoretiske, empiriske og didaktiske perspektiver (s. 81-106). Samfundslitteratur. Medier, Kommunikation, Journalistik Nr. 18.

Mosleh W. S., & Larsen, H. (2021) Exploring the complexity of participation, CoDesign, 17:4, 454-472, https://doi.org/10.1080/15710882.2020.1789172

Niedderer, K., Mackrill, J., Clune, S., Lockton, D., Ludden, G., Morris, A., & Hekkert, P. (2014) *Creating sustainable innovation through design for behaviour change*: full project report

Niedderer, K. & Ludden, G. & Clune, S. & Lockton, D. & M.J, & Morris, A. & Cain, R. & Gardiner, E. & Evans, M. & Gutteridge, R. & Hekkert, P. (2016) *Design for Behaviour Change as a Driver for Sustainable Innovation: Challenges and Opportunities for Implementation in the Private and Public Sectors.* International Journal of Design. 10.

Primerano, F., Taylor, M.A.P., Pitaksringkarn, L. et al. (2008) *Defining and understanding trip chaining behaviour*. Transportation 35, 55–72

Quinlan, E. (2008). Conspicuous invisibility: Shadowing as a data collection strategy. Qualitative Inquiry, 14, 1480-1499

Robinson, O. (2023) *Probing in qualitative research interviews: Theory and practice.* Qualitative Research in Psychology, 20:3, 382-397,

Rømer, H., Breengaard, M. and Levin, L. (2023) *Gender Smart Mobility - Concepts, Methods, and Practices.* Transport and Mobility Series. Routledge

Sauve, K. & Verweij, D., & Alexander, J. & Houben, S. (2021) *Reconfiguration Strategies with Composite Data Physicalizations*. In Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '21). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 471, 1–18.

Shaw, P. (2002) *Changing Conversations in Organisations: A Complexity Approach to Change*, London, New York: Routledge.

Simonsen, J, Robertson, T. (2013) Routledge International Handbook of Participatory Design. Routledge

Skovbjerg, H. (2018) *The Value of Play. Play Moods* – a Language for Play Experiences. Inaugural lecture, Design School Kolding

Upham, P. & Bögel, P. & Dütschke, E. (2020) *Thinking about individual actor-level perspectives in socio technical transitions: A comment on the transitions research agenda.* Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions. 34. pp 341-343

Sternin, J., & Choo, R. (2000) The power of positive deviancy. Harvard Business.

Stusak, S., Tabard, A., Sauka, F., Khot, R. & Butz, A. (2014) *Activity sculptures: Exploring the impact of physical visualisations on running activity.* IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics 20, 12 (2014), 2201--2210.

Thudt, A., Hinrichs, U., Huron, S., and Carpendale, S. (2018) *Self-Reflection and Personal Physicalization Construction*. In Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI *Key issues, interdisciplinary approaches and future directions*. University of Bristol: The Policy Press, pp 237-255'18). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Paper 154, 1–13.

Welch, D. (2016) Social practices and behaviour change. In: Spotswood, F. (ed.) Beyond Behaviour Change